

Appl. No. 09/758,484
Amdt. Dated September 30, 2004
Reply to Office action of July 19, 2004

Amendments to the Claims:

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application:

Listing of Claims:

1. (currently amended) A packet optimization method comprising:
generating a metric to indicate a channel condition based on an estimated error rate;
processing the metric to determine optimal packet-size for the channel condition; and
choosing the optimal packet-size corresponding to the processed metric to send to a requestor.
2. (original) The packet optimization method of claim 1, wherein processing further includes:
receiving the metric corresponding to the channel condition; and
using the received metric to balance a trade-off between the cyclic redundancy check and re-transmission overhead.
3. (original) The packet optimization method of claim 1, wherein choosing the optimal packet further includes training a neural network or look-up table to optimally improve system data throughput by selecting a packet corresponding to the channel condition.
4. (currently amended) The packet optimization method of claim 1, wherein the optimal packet-size is being a packet-size that minimizes both cyclic redundancy check and re-transmission overhead.
5. (currently amended) The packet optimization method of claim 1, wherein the metric being a frame error rate estimated error rate is a weighted combination of a frame error rate (FER), a signal to noise ratio (SNR) estimate, an energy per bit (Eb)/ thermal noise (Nt) estimate, and a system time or finger time drift rate.

Appl. No. 09/758,484
Amdt. Dated September 30, 2004
Reply to Office action of July 19, 2004

6. (currently amended) The packet optimization method of claim 1, wherein the estimated error rate is metric being a function of a packet error rate selected from a group consisting of frame error rate (FER), signal to noise ratio estimate (SNR), energy per bit (Eb) / Thermal noise (Nt) estimate, and system time or finger time drift rate.

7. (currently amended) An apparatus comprising:
a memory to store a metric and packet; and
a processor to generate a metric indicating a channel condition based on an estimated error rate, to process the metric to determine optimal packet-size for the channel condition, and to choose the optimal packet-size corresponding to the processed metric to send to a requestor.

8. (currently amended) The apparatus of claim 7, wherein the processor receives is to receive the metric corresponding to the channel condition, and use the received metric to balance trade-off between the cyclic redundancy check and re-transmission overhead.

9. (currently amended) The apparatus of claim 7, wherein the processor trains is to train a neural network or look-up table to optimally improve system data throughput by selecting a packet corresponding to the channel condition.

10. (currently amended) The apparatus of claim 7, wherein the processor chooses is to choose an optimal packet-size that minimizes both cyclic redundancy check and re-transmission overhead.

11. (currently amended) The apparatus of claim 7, wherein the processor is to computes use the estimated error rate metric corresponding to frame error rate as a weighted combination of a frame error rate (FER), a signal to noise ratio (SNR) estimate, an energy per bit (Eb)/ thermal noise (Nt) estimate, and a system time or finger time drift rate.

12. (currently amended) The apparatus of claim 7, wherein the estimated error rate is one of a metric being a function of a packet error rate selected from a group consisting of frame

Appl. No. 09/758,484
Amdt. Dated September 30, 2004
Reply to Office action of July 19, 2004

error rate (FER), a signal to noise ratio estimate (SNR), an energy per bit (Eb) / Thermal noise (Nt) estimate, and a system time or finger time drift rate.

13. (currently amended) A storage medium having stored therein a plurality of machine executable instructions, wherein when executed, the instructions performing operations ~~perform-a-methed~~ comprising:

generating a metric to indicate a channel condition based on an estimated error rate;
processing the metric to determine optimal packet-size for the channel condition; and
choosing the optimal packet-size corresponding to the processed metric to send to a requestor.

14. (currently amended) The storage medium of claim 13, wherein the instructions performing processing the metric comprises instructions, when executed, performing operations comprising processing further includes:

receiving the metric corresponding to the channel condition; and
using the received metric to balance trade-off between the cyclic redundancy check and re-transmission overhead.

15. (currently amended) The storage medium of claim 13, wherein the instructions performing choosing the optimal packet comprises instructions, when executed, performing operations comprising further includes training a neural network or look-up table to optimally improve system data throughput by selecting a packet corresponding to the channel condition.

16. (currently amended) A method of preventing system overload in a base station or mobile data transmission system comprising:

estimating likelihood of packet transmission error in a system;
determining a radio link protocol (RLP) packet-size corresponding to the estimated likelihood of packet transmission error; and
sending a the RLP packet having size corresponding to the RLP packet-size to a base station or mobile data transmission system.

Appl. No. 09/758,484
Amdt. Dated September 30, 2004
Reply to Office action of July 19, 2004

17. (currently amended) The method of claim 16, wherein determining the RLP packet-size further includes:

allowing a base station or mobile data transmission system to request a change for the RLP packet-size; and

selecting the [[a]] RLP packet from a predetermined table that corresponds in size to the size requested by the base station or mobile data transmission system; and

~~sending the selected RLP packet to the base station or mobile data transmission system.~~

18. (currently amended) The method of claim 17, wherein the base station or mobile data transmission request is being limited to a predetermined number of requests.

19. (currently amended) An apparatus comprising:

a memory to store an RLP packets paeket; and

a processor to estimate likelihood of packet transmission error in a system, to determine a radio link protocol (RLP) packet-size corresponding to the estimated likelihood of packet transmission error, and to send a the RLP packet having size corresponding to the RLP packet-size to a base station or mobile data transmission system.

20. (currently amended) The apparatus of claim 19, wherein the processor allows is to allow a base station or mobile data transmission system to request a change for the RLP packet-size, and selects the to-select [[a]] RLP packet from a predetermined table that corresponds in size to the size requested by the base station or mobile data transmission system; and to send the selected RLP packet to the base station or mobile data transmission system.

21. (currently amended) The apparatus of claim 20, wherein the processor limits is to limit the request from the base station or mobile data transmission to a predetermined number of requests.

22. (currently amended) A storage medium having stored therein a plurality of machine executable instructions, wherein when executed, the instructions performing operations perform a method comprising:

Appl. No. 09/758,484
Amdt. Dated September 30, 2004
Reply to Office action of July 19, 2004

estimating likelihood of packet transmission error in a system;
determining a radio link protocol (RLP) packet-size corresponding to the estimated likelihood of packet transmission error; and
sending ~~a~~ the RLP packet having size corresponding to the RLP packet-size to a base station or mobile data transmission system.

23. (currently amended) The storage medium of claim 22, wherein the instructions performing determining the RLP packet-size comprises instructions, when executed, performing operations comprising further includes:

allowing a base station or mobile data transmission system to request a change for the RLP packet-size; and

selecting the [[a]] RLP packet from a predetermined table that corresponds in size to the size requested by the base station or mobile data transmission system.; and

~~sending the selected RLP packet to the base station or mobile data transmission system.~~

24. (currently amended) The storage medium of claim [[22]] 23, wherein the base station or mobile data transmission system is request being limited to a predetermined number of requests.

25. (original) A method of optimizing packet-size comprising:
storing at least one radio link protocol (RLP) packet in a physical layer; and
predetermining the RLP packet-size by empirical experimentation.

26. (currently amended) The method of claim 25, wherein pre-determining the RLP packet-size comprises: the empirical experimentation includes

simulating a condition with a particular metric value;
adjusting packet-size manually corresponding to the metric value; and
recording packet-size data for the metric value to obtain maximum system throughput.

Appl. No. 09/758,484
Amdt. Dated September 30, 2004
Reply to Office action of July 19, 2004

27. (currently amended) The method of claim 25, wherein the predetermining comprises further includes storing a metric value in a lookup table and obtaining an optimum packet-size corresponding to the stored metric value.

28. (original) The method of claim 25, wherein the RLP packet includes cyclic redundancy check bits to provide error-checking capability for the RLP packet.

29. (currently amended) An apparatus comprising:
a memory to store an radio link protocol (RLP) packet, and data from an empirical experimentation data; and
a processor to store at least one RLP packet in a physical layer, and to predetermine the RLP packet-size by the empirical experimentation.

30. (currently amended) The apparatus of claim 29, wherein the processor to perform the empirical experimentation simulates is to simulate a condition with a particular metric value, adjusts to adjust packet-size manually corresponding to the metric value, and records to record packet-size data for the metric value for obtaining maximum system throughput.

31. (currently amended) The apparatus of claim 29, wherein the processor stores is to store a metric value in a lookup table and obtains is to obtain an optimum packet-size corresponding to the stored metric value.

32. (original) The apparatus of claim 29, wherein the RLP packet includes cyclic redundancy check bits to provide error-checking capability for the RLP packet.

33. (currently amended) A storage medium having stored therein a plurality of machine executable instructions, wherein when executed, the instructions perform operations a method comprising:

storing at least one radio link protocol (RLP) packet in a physical layer; and
predetermining the RLP packet-size by an empirical experimentation.

Appl. No. 09/758,484
Amdt. Dated September 30, 2004
Reply to Office action of July 19, 2004

34. (currently amended) The storage medium of claim 33, wherein the instructions performing predetermining the RLP packet-size comprises instructions, when executed, performing operations comprising: empirical experimentation includes
simulating a condition with a particular metric value;
adjusting packet-size manually corresponding to the metric value; and
recording packet-size data for the metric value to get maximum system throughput.

35. (currently amended) The storage medium of claim 33, wherein the instructions performing predetermining the RLP packet-size comprises instructions, when executed, performing operations comprising predetermining further includes storing a metric value in a lookup table and obtaining an optimum packet-size corresponding to the stored metric value.

36. (original) The storage medium of claim 33, wherein the RLP packet includes cyclic redundancy check bits to provide error-checking capability for the RLP packet.